


ON THE CONVERGENCE OF
THE SEQUENCE OF ITERATES

CENTRE FOR NEWFOUNDLAND STUDIES

**TOTAL OF 10 PAGES ONLY
MAY BE XEROXED**

(Without Author's Permission)

ARTHUR JOSEPH LEWIS
ROBERTSON



100075





National Library of Canada

Cataloguing Branch
Canadian Theses Division

Ottawa, Canada
K1A 0N4

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Direction du catalogage
Division des thèses canadiennes

NOTICE

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us a poor photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30. Please read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

**THIS DISSERTATION
HAS BEEN MICROFILMED
EXACTLY AS RECEIVED**

AVIS

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

**LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ
MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE
NOUS L'AVONS REÇUE**

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF THE SEQUENCE OF ITERATES

BY

ARTHUR JOSEPH LEWIS ROBERTSON

A THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT

OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE

DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND

ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND

1976

12

This thesis has been examined and approved by:

.....
Supervisor

.....
Internal Examiner

.....
External Examiner

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Dr. S. P. Singh for his invaluable assistance and guidance in the preparation of this thesis. He would also like to thank Miss Elaine Boone for typing the manuscript.

The financial support in the form of a University Fellowship from Memorial University is gratefully acknowledged.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	(i)
CHAPTER I BANACH CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE AND FIXED POINTS	1
1.1 Introductory Concepts	1
1.2 Fixed Point Concepts	5
CHAPTER II CONVERGENCE OF THE SEQUENCE OF ITERATES	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Convergence of a sequence of iterates	11
2.3 Iterates of the form $x_{n+1} = t_n T x_{n_v} + (1-t_n)x_n$	28
BIBLIOGRAPHY	44

ABSTRACT

The main objective of this thesis is to study the convergence of sequences of various iterates to a fixed point in certain Banach spaces.

The preliminaries of metric spaces, normed linear spaces, and basic fixed point concepts are covered in the first chapter.

In the second chapter, the first section gives a brief statement of the problem of convergence of sequences of iterates.

The second section traces the development of some of the more important results on the convergence of sequences of iterates. The concept of a densifying mapping is then introduced and a similar development of theorems for these mappings is given.

The last section covers a more general sequence of iterates. An extension of a theorem by Senter [19] is presented and a corrected version of his original theorem is given. The corrected version is then shown to be a corollary of the new theorem. It is also shown that a condition Z of Rhoades [17] is a subset of one of the conditions of the new theorem. The final theorem in this section is an extension of one by Petryshyn and Williamson [15] to this more general sequence of iterates.

CHAPTER I

BANACH CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE AND FIXED POINTS

1.1 Introductory Concepts

In this chapter definitions and examples are given which have been used in the subsequent work.

Definition 1.1.1 Let X be a set and d be a function from $X \times X$ into \mathbb{R}^+ such that for every x, y and $z \in X$, we have

- i) $d(x, y) \geq 0$,
- ii) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- iii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- iv) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

Then d is called a metric or distance function and the pair (X, d) is a metric space. A metric space may be denoted by X if the metric d is understood.

Definition 1.1.2 A sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ of a metric space X is said to converge to a point z if given $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a positive integer N_ϵ such that

$$d(x_n, z) < \epsilon \text{ for } n > N_\epsilon.$$

We denote convergence by $x_n \rightarrow z$ or $\lim d(x_n, z) = 0$.

Definition 1.1.3 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if for each

$\epsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer N_ϵ such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon \text{ for all } n, m \geq N_\epsilon.$$

A convergent sequence is always a Cauchy sequence but the converse is not true.

Definition 1.1.4 A metric space X is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X .

Definition 1.1.5 The diameter $\delta(A)$ of a non-empty subset A of a metric space X is defined by

$$\delta(A) = \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in A\}.$$

If the diameter of A is finite, then A is said to be bounded, otherwise unbounded.

Definition 1.1.6 A subset A of a metric space X is totally bounded if given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a finite number of subsets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n of X such that

$$\delta(A_i) < \epsilon \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i.$$

Remark 1.1.7 A totally bounded set is bounded but the converse is not true. However, in \mathbb{R} bounded and totally bounded are equivalent.

The following theorem is stated without proof.

Theorem 1.1.8 A subset A of a metric space X is totally bounded if and only if every sequence of points of A contains a Cauchy subsequence. [8].

Definition 1.1.9 A metric space X is compact if every open covering of X has a finite subcover.

Definition 1.1.10 A linear space over a field K is a quadruple $(X, K, +, \cdot)$ where X is a non-empty set,

$+$ is a mapping $(x, y) \rightarrow x+y$ of $X \times X$ into X ,

\cdot is a mapping $(a, x) \rightarrow a \cdot x$ of $K \times X$ into X ,

such that the following are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in X$ and

$a, b \in K$:

- i) $x+y = y+x$,
- ii) $x+(y+z) = (x+y)+z$,
- iii) there exists $0 \in X$ such that $x+0 = x$,
- iv) for each $x \in X$, there exists $-x \in X$ such that $x+(-x) = 0$,
- v) $(a+b) \cdot x = a \cdot x + b \cdot x$,
- vi) $a \cdot (x+y) = a \cdot x + a \cdot y$,
- vii) $a \cdot (b \cdot x) = (ab) \cdot x$,
- viii) $1 \cdot x = x$.

We will write the linear space X rather than $(X, K, +, \cdot)$.

Definition 1.1.11 Let X be a linear space over K . A mapping $x \rightarrow ||x||$ of X into the set \mathbb{R}^+ is called a norm on X if the following are satisfied for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in K$.

- i) $||x|| \geq 0$,
- ii) $||x|| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- iii) $||\lambda x|| = |\lambda| ||x||$,
- iv) $||x+y|| \leq ||x|| + ||y||$.

A normed linear space over K is a pair $(X, ||\cdot||)$ where X is a linear space over K and $||\cdot||$ is a norm on X . We will write a normed linear space X rather than $(X, ||\cdot||)$.

Remark 1.1.12 Every normed linear space is a metric space with a metric d defined on X by

$$d(x, y) = ||x - y|| \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Definition 1.1.13 A complete normed linear space is called a Banach space.

Example 1.1.14 $C[a, b]$, the space of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ with

$$||f|| = \sup\{|f(t)| : t \in [a, b]\},$$

is a Banach space.

Example 1.1.15 l^p for $p \geq 1$, the space of sequences $x = \{x_n\}$ for which $\sum |x_n|^p < \infty$ with $||x|| = (\sum |x_n|^p)^{1/p}$, is a Banach space.

Definition 1.1.16 A set C in a Banach space X is convex if

$$\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y \in C \text{ whenever } x, y \in C \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Definition 1.1.17 The intersection of all closed, convex sets containing a set G is a closed, convex set which contains G and which is contained in every closed, convex set containing G . This set is the closed convex hull, or the convex closure of G and is denoted by $\overline{CO}(G)$.

Definition 1.1.18 A Banach space X is called uniformly convex if for

every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$, depending on ϵ , such that if $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and $\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon$, then $\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| < 1-\delta$.

Remark 1.1.19 X is uniformly convex if for any two points x, y on the unit sphere S , the midpoint of the segment joining x and y can be close to but not on the sphere only if x and y are sufficiently close together. ($S = \{x \in X \mid \|x\| = 1\}$).

Definition 1.1.20 A Banach space X is strictly convex if from $x, y \in X$ and $\|x+y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$, it follows that $x = \lambda y$ where $\lambda > 0$.

Remark 1.1.21 X is a strictly convex Banach space if whenever $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and $x \neq y$ then $\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| < 1$. Thus, a uniformly convex space is strictly convex but not conversely.

1.2 Fixed point Concepts.

Fixed point theorems are useful mainly in existence theory of differential equations, partial differential equations, random differential equations, and in related areas. They have a very fruitful application in eigenvalue problems and boundary value problems.

Definition 1.2.1 Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a function on set X . A point $x_0 \in X$ is a fixed point of T if $Tx_0 = x_0$, that is a point which remains invariant under a transformation T . We denote the set of fixed points of T by $F(T)$.

Example 1.2.2 Let $T: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ be defined by $Tx = x/10$.

Then $T(0) = 0$ and 0 is a fixed point of T .

Definition 1.2.2 A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction map if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } 0 < k < 1.$$

The following well-known theorem is due to Banach [1]. We include the proof for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 1.2.3 Banach Contraction Principle.

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction mapping on a complete metric space (X, d) .

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof Let x_0 be any point in X and let

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0.$$

We wish to show $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Because T is a contraction mapping, we have

$$d(x_1, x_2) = d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq \alpha d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$d(x_2, x_3) = d(Tx_1, Tx_2) \leq \alpha d(x_1, x_2) \leq \alpha^2 d(x_1, x_0)$$

and in general

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x_m) &= d(T^n x_0, T^m x_0) \leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_{m-n}), \text{ for } m > n \\
 &\leq \alpha^n \{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + \dots + d(x_{m-n-1}, x_{m-n})\} \\
 &\leq \alpha^n \{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_0, x_1) + \dots + \alpha^{m-n-1} d(x_0, x_1)\} \\
 &\leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1) \{1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{m-n-1}\} \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha^n d(x_0, x_1)}{1 - \alpha}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha < 1$, $d(x_n, x_m)$ is arbitrarily small for large n . Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Show T has a fixed point.

Set the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Since T is continuous

$$Tx = T \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x.$$

Thus, T has at least one fixed point.

Show T has only one fixed point.

Assume T has two distinct fixed points; say $Tx = x$ and $Ty = y$ where $x \neq y$.

$$\text{Then } d(x, y) = d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y).$$

That is $\alpha \geq 1$, a contradiction to the fact that $\alpha < 1$.

Hence

$$d(x, y) = 0$$

and

$$x = y.$$

Thus, T has one and only one fixed point.

Q.E.D.

Remark 1.2.4 Both conditions of the Theorem are necessary.

1. The mapping $T: (0,1] \rightarrow (0,1]$ defined by $Tx = x/2$ is a contraction map but has no fixed point, since $(0,1]$ is not a complete space.

2. The mapping $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $Tx = x+5$ is not a contraction and has no fixed points although \mathbb{R} is complete.

Remark 1.2.5 The construction of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and the study of its convergence is known as the method of successive approximations.

Definition 1.2.6 A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is contractive if

$$d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ where } x \neq y.$$

Remark 1.2.7 A contractive mapping is continuous and clearly is more general than a contraction mapping.

Remark 1.2.8 Completeness of a space and a contractive mapping are not enough to ensure the existence of a fixed point.

Let $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $Tx = x + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan x$. Then T is contractive, but T does not have a fixed point, since $\arctan x < \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all x .

Therefore, fixed point theorems for contractive mappings require further restrictions on the space or extra conditions on the mapping or its range.

Definition 1.2.9 A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be non-expansive if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Example 1.2.10 A translation map, identity map, and isometry are examples of non-expansive mappings.

Definition 1.2.11 A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be quasi-non-expansive provided T has at least one fixed point ($F(T) \neq \emptyset$) and if $p \in F(T)$ then

$$||Tx - p|| \leq ||x - p|| \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Definition 1.2.12 A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be asymptotically regular if

$$||T^{n+1}x - T^n x|| \text{ converges to } 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Definition 1.2.13 The set $L(x)$ will denote the set of subsequential limit points of the sequence of iterates $\{T^n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

That is the set of all $y \in X$ such that

$$y = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T^{n_i} x \text{ for some subsequence}$$

$$(T^{n_i} x)_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{ of the sequence } (T^n x)_{n=1}^{\infty}.$$

CHAPTER II

CONVERGENCE OF THE SEQUENCE OF ITERATES

2.1 Introduction

Let T be a non-expansive mapping of a Banach Space X into itself. The question we are concerned with is finding the fixed points of T by an iteration method

$$x_{n+1} = Tx_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

where x_0 is a given initial approximation.

The basic question is whether or not the sequence in (1) converges. If T is a contraction mapping then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to the unique fixed point of T . However, if T is non-expansive then there is no guarantee that the sequence will converge and generally it does not.

Consider the following simple examples of non-expansive mappings which do not have convergent sequences.

Example 2.1.1 If T is a translation from $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

Example 2.1.2 If T is a rotation of the plane around the origin.

Remark 2.1.3 In the second example the sequence $\{x_n\}$ does not converge in general. It converges only if the initial approximation is the origin.

We will investigate this concept of convergence of iterates for more general forms of iterations and various types of non-expansive mappings.

2.2 Convergence of a sequence of iterates

Consider mappings of the form

$$T_\lambda = \lambda I + (I-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1,$$

for $T: X \rightarrow X$, and the sequence of iterates $\{T_{\lambda^n}^n x_0\}$. Under certain restrictions this sequence of iterates converges.

The first result of this form was given by Krasnoselskii [11] for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and is stated below without proof.

Theorem 2.2.1 Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space, C be a closed convex subset of X and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a non-expansive mapping such that $T(C)$ is contained in a compact subset of C . Then for an arbitrary $x_0 \in C$ the sequence of iterates

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + Tx_n)$$

converges to a fixed point of T in C .

This result was extended by Schaeffer [18] for λ , $0 < \lambda < 1$ where the sequence of iterates was

$$x_{n+1} = \lambda x_n + (1-\lambda)Tx_n.$$

Edelstein [7] further extended Theorem 2.2.1 to a strictly convex Banach space.

Diaz and Metcalf [4] derived the following more general result which contained the result of Edelstein as a corollary.

Theorem 2.2.2 Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous function, and suppose that

- i) $F(T) \neq \emptyset$,
- ii) for each $x \in X$ with $x \notin F(T)$, $p \in F(T)$,

$$d(Tx, p) < d(x, p).$$

Let $x \in X$. Then either $\{T^n x\}$ has no convergent subsequence or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x$ exists and belongs to $F(T)$.

Proof

Assume $L(x) \neq \emptyset$, otherwise there is nothing to prove.

Show \lim exists. If $T^n x \in F(T)$ for some integer $n > 1$, then $\lim T^n x$ exists and belongs to $F(T)$.

Assume, therefore, that $T^n x \notin F(T)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then for any $y \in F(T)$

$$d(T^{n+1}x, y) < d(T^n x, y) \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Thus, the sequence of reals is decreasing and a limit exists.

Show $L(x) \subseteq F(T)$. Let $T^{n_1} x \rightarrow p$ and we wish to show $p \in F(T)$.

Assume $p \notin F(T)$. Then for $y \in F(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim d(T^{n_1+1}x, y) &= d(\lim T^{n_1+1}x, y) < d(\lim T^{n_1}x, y) \\ &= \lim d(T^{n_1}x, y). \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction since the sequence $\{d(T^{n_1}x, y)\}$ converges.

Therefore $L(x) \subseteq F(T)$.

Show $L(x)$ contains at most one point.

Assume to the contrary that $L(x)$ contains at least two distinct points p and q . Then these are strictly increasing sequences of positive integers $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T^{m_i} x = p$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T^{n_i} x = q$. Now take a subsequence $\{m'_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ so that $m'_i > n_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T^{m'_i}(x) = p$.

Furthermore, because $p \neq q$ we have $T^m(x) \notin F(T)$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$.

But condition (ii) implies

$$d(T^{m'_i} x, q) < d(T^{m'_i - 1} x, q) < \dots < d(T^{n_i} x, q)$$

and since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(T^{n_i} x, q) = 0$. This implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T^{m'_i} x = q$.

This is a contradiction of the assumption $p \neq q$ and therefore $L(x)$ contains at most one point.

Show $\{T^{n_i} x\}$ converges to $y \in F(T)$.

Since $T^{n_i} x \rightarrow y$ therefore, for $m > n_i$

$$d(T^m x, y) < d(T^{m-1} x, y) < \dots < d(T^{n_i} x, y) < \epsilon.$$

This implies $T^m x \rightarrow y$.

Q.E.D.

Using the above Theorem Diaz and Metcalf [4] proved the following theorem which we state without proof.

Theorem 2.2.3 Let C be a closed, convex subset of a strictly convex

Banach space X , $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping satisfying

$$i) \quad \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C,$$

ii) $T(C)$ is contained in a compact subset C' of C .

Then for $x \in C$, the sequence of iterates $\{T^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Remark 2.2.4 We note that the earlier results are special cases. In the case that $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, we get the result due to Edelstein [7]. In the case where X is a uniformly convex Banach space, we get the result of Schaeffer [18]. Finally, in the case where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and X is a uniformly convex Banach space we get the result of Krasnoselskii [11].

The following result is also due to Diaz and Metcalf [4], it introduces a quasi non-expansive mapping.

Theorem 2.2.5 Let X be a Banach space and T be a continuous mapping of X into itself such that

i) T is asymptotically regular,

ii) T is quasi non-expansive; i.e. whenever $p \in F(T)$,

$$\|Tx - p\| \leq \|x - p\| \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Suppose that for some $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ has a convergent subsequence

$$\{T^{n_i} x_0\} \rightarrow z;$$

then z is a fixed point of T and $\{T^n x_0\} \rightarrow z$.

The following well-known theorem is due to Browder and Petryshyn [3].

Theorem 2.2.6 Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a non-expansive, asymptotically regular mapping in a Banach space X . Let $F(T)$ be non-empty, and let T satisfy the following condition.

(A) $(I - T)$ maps bounded closed sets into closed sets.

Then for each $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to some point in $F(T)$.

Proof If $y \in F(T)$ then

$$\|T^{n+1}x_0 - y\| \leq \|T^n x_0 - y\|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ is bounded. Let G be the closure of $\{T^n x_0\}$. By condition (A) it follows that $(I - T)G$ is closed. This along with the fact that T is asymptotically regular gives 0 in $(I - T)G$. Thus, there exists a $Z \in G$ such that $(I - T)Z = 0$; i.e. $Z = TZ$.

Now this implies either $Z = T^n x_0$ for some n , or there exists a subsequence $\{T^{n_i} x_0\}$ converging to Z . Since Z is a fixed point, it can be concluded that the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to Z .

Q.E.D.

Diaz and Metcalf [4] proved the following.

Theorem 2.2.7 Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous, quasi non-expansive mapping of a Banach space X into itself such that

- i) T is asymptotically regular,
- ii) the continuous real valued function f , defined by

$$f(x) = \|(I-T)x\|$$

for $x \in X$, maps bounded, closed subsets of X into closed sets of real numbers.

Then, for $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to some point in $F(T)$.

Proof The function f maps bounded, closed subsets of X into closed sets of real numbers.

Let D be a bounded, closed set in X . Then condition (ii) implies that

$$f(D) = \{\|(I-T)x\| \mid x \in D\}$$

is a closed set of real numbers. The norm function is continuous and therefore the inverse image of the set $f(D)$, with respect to the norm function, namely the set $(I-T)D$ is a closed subset of X .

Thus, every bounded, closed set D is mapped by $I-T$ into a closed set.

Therefore, condition (ii) implies (A) of Theorem 2.2.6. Hence, the proof follows on the same lines as that of Theorem 2.2.6.

Q.E.D.

Diaz and Metcalf proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2.8 Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous, quasi non-expansive

asymptotically regular mapping of a Banach space X into itself.

Suppose T satisfies

(A) $(I-T)$ maps bounded, closed subsets of X into closed subsets of X .

Then for $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Dotson proved the following [5].

Theorem 2.2.9 Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space and T a quasi-non-expansive mapping of X into itself. Define the mapping T_λ by

$$T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

Then the mapping T is quasi non-expansive and asymptotically regular.

(See also Yadav's thesis for the proof).

Theorem 2.2.10 Let T be a continuous, quasi non-expansive mapping of a uniformly convex Banach space X into itself. Also, if T satisfies

(A) $(I-T)$ maps closed, bounded subsets of X into closed subsets of X .

Define $T_\lambda: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

Then for $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{T_\lambda^n x_0\}$ converges to some point in $F(T)$.

Proof From Theorem 2.2.9 it follows that T_λ is a continuous, quasi non-expansive asymptotically regular mapping. Also $F(T) = F(T_\lambda)$. Moreover, T_λ satisfies condition (A).

Thus, T_λ satisfies all the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2.8.

Q.E.D.

Definition 2.2.11 Let D be a bounded subset of a metric space X .

We define the measure of non-compactness

$$\alpha(D) = \inf \{ \epsilon > 0 \mid D \text{ admits a finite covering consisting of subsets of diameter } \leq \epsilon \}.$$

Remark 2.2.12 The following properties of α are very useful. See Nussbaum [12] for a proof.

1. $\alpha(A) = \delta(A)$ where $\delta(A)$ = diameter of A .
2. If $A \subset B$, then $\alpha(A) \leq \alpha(B)$.
3. $\alpha(\bar{A}) = \alpha(A)$ where \bar{A} is the closure of A .
4. $\alpha(A \cup B) = \max\{\alpha(A), \alpha(B)\}$.

Definition 2.2.13 Let T be a continuous mapping of a Banach space X into itself. Then T is densifying if

1. for all bounded sets $A \subset X$, we have $T(A)$ bounded and
2. $\alpha(TA) < \alpha(A)$ for $\alpha(A) > 0$.

The following Theorem due to Nussbaum [13] is stated without proof.

Theorem 2.2.14 Let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a densifying mapping defined on a closed, bounded convex subset C of a Banach space X .

Then T has at least one fixed point. This result was also given

by Puri and Vignoli: Fixed points for densifying mappings, Accad. Naz. Lincei 47(1969), 465-467.

The following Theorem is due to Singh [20].

Theorem 2.2.15 Let X be a Banach space and let C be a closed, bounded, convex subset of X . Let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a densifying mapping. Define a mapping

$$T_\lambda = \lambda I + (I - \lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1$$

such that $T_\lambda: C \rightarrow C$ and let T_λ satisfy

(A) whenever $p \in F(T_\lambda)$,

$$\|T_\lambda x - p\| < \|x - p\| \quad \text{for all } x \in C - T(T_\lambda).$$

Then for each $x_0 \in C$, the sequence of iterates $\{T_\lambda^n x_0\}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Proof $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ from Theorem 2.2.14. Because T is densifying, T_λ is also densifying and $F(T_\lambda) \neq \emptyset$.

If we show that $\{T_\lambda^n x_0\}$ has a convergent subsequence the proof will follow from Theorem 2.2.2.

For each $x_0 \in C$, the sequence

$$A = \{T_\lambda^n x_0 \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is bounded and it is transformed to

$$B = T_\lambda A = \{T_\lambda^n x_0 \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } \alpha(A) &= \alpha(B \cup \{x_0\}) \\
 &= \max\{\alpha(B), \alpha\{x_0\}\} \\
 &= \alpha(B).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\alpha(A) = 0$ since T_λ is densifying.

Thus, \bar{A} is compact and $\{\pi_\lambda^n x_0\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Thus the result follows, from Theorem 2.2.2.

Q.E.D.

The following result due to Petryshyn [14] follows as a corollary to Theorem 2.2.15.

Theorem 2.2.16 Let X be a strictly convex Banach space, C be a closed, bounded, convex subset of X , and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a densifying, non-expansive mapping.

$$\text{Let } T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

Then, for each $x_0 \in C$, the sequence

$$x_{n+1} = T_\lambda^n x_0$$

converges to a fixed point of T .

In the following theorem due to Yadav [24] quasi non-expansive mapping is used in place of non-expansive.

Theorem 2.2.17 Let X be a strictly convex Banach space, C be a closed, bounded, convex subset of X , and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a densifying mapping. Define $T_\lambda: C \rightarrow C$ by

$$T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1,$$

such that

$$\|T_\lambda x - p\| < \|x - p\|$$

for all $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T_\lambda)$. Then, for each $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{T_\lambda^n x_0\}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Proof. Because T_λ is quasi-non-expansive and X is a strictly convex Banach space, we have

$$\|T_\lambda x - p\| < \|x - p\| \quad \text{for all}$$

$$p \in F(T_\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad x \in C - F(T_\lambda).$$

The proof follows from Theorem 2.2.15.

The following result was given by Singh and Yadav [23].

Theorem 2.2.18 Let X be a Banach space, C be a closed, bounded, convex subset of X , and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a densifying mapping. Define $S: C \rightarrow C$ by

$$S = a_0 I + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + \dots + a_K T^K,$$

where $a_0 > 0$, $a_1 > 0$, and $\sum_{i=0}^K a_i = 1$.

Let S satisfy

$$\|Sx - p\| < \|x - p\| \quad \text{for } p \in F(S)$$

and $x \in C - F(S)$. Then, for each $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{S^n x_0\}$

converges to a fixed point of T .

Proof By Theorem 2.2.14, we can say

$$F(T) = F(S) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since T is densifying, S is also densifying and therefore, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ has a convergent subsequence (as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.15).

Then the proof follows from Theorem 2.2.15.

Q.E.D.

Remark 2.2.19 By putting appropriate values of λ and the a_i 's, it is possible to get the results of Diaz and Metcalf [4], Edelstein [7], Kirk [10], Krasnoselskii [11], Petryshyn [14], Reiner mann [16], Schaeffer [18], and Singh [20] as corollaries.

Singh and Riggie [22] gave the following Theorem which is an extension of a result given by Barbuti and Guerra [2].

Theorem 2.2.20 Let X be a strictly convex Banach space, C be a closed, bounded, convex, subset of X , and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a densifying mapping satisfying

$$(B^*) \quad ||Tx - Ty|| \leq a||x - y|| + b(||Tx - x|| + ||Ty - y||), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Let $T: C \rightarrow C$,

$$T_\lambda = \lambda I + (I - \lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

Then, for each $x_0 \in C$, the sequence of iterates $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Proof By Theorem 2.2.14.

$$F(T) = F(T_\lambda) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since T satisfies (B') and X is a strictly convex Banach space, we get

$$\|T_\lambda x - p\| < \|x - p\| \quad \text{for } p \in F(T_\lambda)$$

and for all $x \in C - F(T_\lambda)$.

Then the proof follows from Theorem 2.2.15.

Q.E.D.

The following Theorem was given by Singh [21].

Theorem 2.2.21 Let X be a strictly convex Banach space, C be a closed, bounded, convex subset of X , and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a densifying mapping satisfying

$$(A') \quad \|Tx - Ty\| \leq a\|x - y\| + b(\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|) + c(\|x - Ty\| + \|y - Tx\|)$$

where $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $a + 2b + 2c \leq 1$.

Then for each $x_0 \in C$ the sequence of iterates $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Proof We wish to show that $||T_\lambda x - p|| < ||x - p||$ for $p \in F(T_\lambda)$ and for all $x \in X - F(T_\lambda)$. Then the proof will follow from Theorem 2.2.15.

Take $p \in F(T)$, then from (A') we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||Tx - p|| &\leq a||x - p|| + b(||x - Tx||) + c(||x - p|| + ||p - Tx||) \\ (1-c)||Tx - p|| &\leq (a+c)||x - p|| + b||x - Tx|| \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$||x - Tx|| = ||x - p + p - Tx|| \leq ||x - p|| + ||p - Tx||$$

and substituting we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1-c)||Tx - p|| &\leq (a+c)||x - p|| + b||x - p|| + b||p - Tx|| \\ (1-b-c)||Tx - p|| &\leq (a+b+c)||x - p|| \end{aligned}$$

$$||Tx - p|| \leq \frac{a+b+c}{1-b-c} ||x - p||$$

$$\text{Thus, } ||Tx - p|| \leq ||x - p||$$

In the case $x \neq p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||T_\lambda x - p|| &= ||T_\lambda x - T_\lambda p|| \\ &= ||\lambda(Tx - p) + (I - \lambda)(x - p)|| \\ &= ||x - p|| \left\| \frac{\lambda(Tx - p)}{||x - p||} + \frac{(I - \lambda)(x - p)}{||x - p||} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

If strict inequality holds, we get

$$\left\| \frac{\lambda(Tx-p)}{\|x-p\|} + \frac{(1-\lambda)(x-p)}{\|x-p\|} \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda\|Tx-p\|}{\|x-p\|} + (1-\lambda) < 1.$$

Hence,

$$\|T_\lambda x - p\| < \|x - p\|.$$

In the case equality holds, since $(Tx-p)/\|x-p\|$ and $(x-p)/\|x-p\|$ have unit norm and since X is a strictly convex Banach space, we get

$$\left\| \frac{\lambda(Tx-p)}{\|x-p\|} + \frac{(1-\lambda)(x-p)}{\|x-p\|} \right\| < 1.$$

Thus, $\|T_\lambda x - p\| < \|x - p\|$ for $x \neq p$.

Q.E.D.

Remark 2.2.22 In case $b = c = 0$, $a = 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ we get a result due to Edelstein [7]. In case $c = 0$, we get Theorem 2.2.20 due to Singh and Riggio [22].

In case $c = 0$ and T is completely continuous, we get the result due to Barbuti and Guerra [2].

The following result is due to Petryshyn and Williamson [15].

Theorem 2.2.23 Let C be a closed subset of a Banach space X and let $T: C \rightarrow X$ be continuous and satisfy

i) $F(T) = \emptyset$

ii) for each $x \in C$ and $p \in F(T)$,

$$||Tx-p|| \leq ||x-p||$$

iii) there exists an $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$x_n = T^n(x_0) \in C \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of T in C if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0.$$

Proof. The condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0$ is clearly necessary. To show that it is sufficient we shall assume it is true and show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $n_1 > N$ such that $n \geq n_1$, then $d(x_n, F(T)) < \epsilon/2$.

For $\ell, k \geq n_1$

$$||x_\ell - x_k|| = ||x_\ell - p - x_k|| \leq ||x_\ell - p|| + ||x_k - p||$$

and for $p \in F(T)$ we have the following from quasi non-expansive (ii)

$$||x_\ell - p|| \leq ||x_{n_1} - p||,$$

$$||x_k - p|| \leq ||x_{n_1} - p||,$$

$$||x_\ell - x_k|| \leq 2||x_{n_1} - p||.$$

Taking the infimum over $p \in F(T)$ gives

$$||x_\ell - x_k|| \leq 2d(x_{n_1}, F(T)) < \epsilon.$$

Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Now we know that $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point in C since C is closed. Furthermore, because T is continuous, $F(T)$ is closed, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0,$$

implies that $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point in $F(T)$.

Q.E.D.

The following Theorem, also due to Petryshyn and Williamson [15], is a restatement of the last theorem for the mapping T_λ .

Theorem 2.2.24 Let C be a closed convex subset of a Banach space X and let $T: C \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping satisfying

- (i) $F(T) \neq \emptyset$,
- (ii) T is quasi-nonexpansive,
- (iii) there exists an $x_0 \in C$ such that

$$x_n = T_\lambda^n(x_0) \in C \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

and some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of T in C if and only if

$$d(T_\lambda^n(x_0), F(T)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof We wish to show that T_λ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2.23.

Since C is convex, T_λ is well defined on C and $F(T) = F(T_\lambda)$.

Condition (i) ensures the existence of a fixed point. Therefore,

for $p \in F(T)$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$, and $x \in C$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||T_\lambda(x) - p|| &= ||\lambda x + (1-\lambda)Tx - \lambda p - (1-\lambda)p|| \\ &\leq \lambda ||x - p|| + (1-\lambda) ||Tx - p|| \leq ||x - p||. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, T_λ is quasi non-expansive. From condition (ii), there is a $x_0 \in C$ such that $T_\lambda^n x_0 \in C$ for $n \geq 1$.

Hence, the conditions of Theorem 2.2.23 are satisfied.

Q.E.D.

2.3 Iterates of the form $t_n x_n + (1-t_n) x_n$

The sequence of iterates discussed in section 2.2 is not a very general one and therefore the study of the sequence of iterates given as

$$x_{n+1} = t_n x_n + (1-t_n) x_n$$

with suitable restrictions on t_n , has been of considerable interest in recent years.

The following definitions are taken from Senter [19].

Definition 2.3.1 Let P denote the set of positive integers and suppose C is a convex non-empty subset of a Banach space X . For arbitrary $x_1 \in X$,

$$m(x_1, t_n, T)$$

will denote the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} = (1-t_n)x_n + t_nTx_n$$

where $t_n \in [a, b]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and $0 < a < b < 1$.

Definition 2.3.2 Let X be a Banach space and C be a nonempty subset of X . A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ with a nonempty fixed point set F in C satisfies condition I if there is a nondecreasing function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$|x - Tx| \geq f(d(x, F))$$

I

for all $x \in C$ where

$$d(x, F) = \inf\{|x - z| : z \in F\}.$$

Definition 2.3.3 If $T: C \rightarrow C$ has a nonempty fixed point set F , then T will be said to satisfy condition II provided there exists a real number $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|x - Tx| \geq \alpha \cdot d(x, F) \text{ for all } x \in X$$

II

where $d(x, F) = \inf\{|x - z| : z \in F\}$.

The following lemmas are due to Dotson [5]. They are stated without proof.

Lemma 2.3.4 (Dotson [5]) For a uniformly convex Banach space X , if $\{w_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in the closed unit ball of X and if

$$\{z_n\} = \{(1-t_n)w_n + t_ny_n\}$$

satisfies $|z_n| = 1$ where

$$t_n \in [a, b] \text{ for } 0 < a < b < 1,$$

then $\lim |w_n - y_n| = 0$.

Lemma 2.3.5 (Dotson [5]). Suppose C is a closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space X ,

If $T: C \rightarrow C$ is quasi-nonexpansive then the fixed point set F of T in C is closed and convex.

The following theorem is due to Senter [19].

Theorem 2.3.6 Suppose X is a uniformly convex subset of X and T is a quasi non-expansive mapping of C into C . If T satisfies condition I where F is the fixed point set of T in C , then for arbitrary $x_1 \in X$, $M(x_1, t_n, T)$ converges to a member of F .

Proof

If $x_1 \in F$ the result is trivial.

Show the limit exists

Assume $x_1 \in C \setminus F$. For arbitrary $z \in F$ and $n \in P$ (the set of positive integers), we have from quasi non-expansiveness that

$$|Tx_n - z| \leq |x_n - z|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+1} - z| &\leq (1 - t_n) |x_n - z| + t_n |Tx_n - z| \\ &\leq |x_n - z|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $d(x_{n+1}, F) \leq d(x_n, F)$ for all $n \in P$.

The sequence $\{d(x_n, F)\}$ is non-increasing and bounded below, so

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F)$ exists.

Show the limit must be zero. (Using Lemma 2.3.4)

Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = b > 0$.

Then for arbitrary $z_0 \in F$

$$\lim |x_n - z_0| = b > 0.$$

Let $N > 0$ be an integer such that $|x_n - z_0| \leq 2b$ for all $n \geq N$.

$$\text{Let } y_n = \frac{(Tx_n - z_0)}{|x_n - z_0|} \quad \text{and} \quad w_n = \frac{(x_n - z_0)}{|x_n - z_0|}$$

Then $|y_n| \leq 1$ and $|w_n| = 1$ for all $n \in P$ and for $n \geq N$,

$$|w_n - y_n| = \frac{|x_n - Tx_n|}{|x_n - z_0|} \geq \frac{f(d(x_n, F))}{|x_n - z_0|} \geq \frac{f(b)}{2b} > 0. \quad (\text{From Condition I}).$$

Thus, $|w_n - y_n| \neq 0$.

$$\text{Now, } \lim |(1-t_n)w_n + t_n y_n| = \lim |x_{n+1} - z_0| / |x_n - z_0| = \frac{b}{b} = 1.$$

This contradicts Lemma 2.3.4, since $\lim |w_n - y_n| \neq 0$ implies that

$$\lim |(1-t_n)w_n + t_n y_n| \neq 1.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$.

Show $\{x_n\}$ converges to an element of F

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$, there corresponds to each $\epsilon > 0$ a positive integer N_ϵ and $z_\epsilon \in F$ such that

$$|x_{N_\epsilon} - z_\epsilon| < \epsilon/4,$$

which implies $|x_n - z_\epsilon| < \epsilon/4$ for all $n \geq N_\epsilon$.

If $\epsilon_k = \frac{1}{2^k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{P}$ then for each ϵ_k there is an integer $N_k > 0$ and a $z_k \in F$ such that

$$|x_n - z_k| < \frac{\epsilon_k}{4} \text{ for all } n \geq N_k.$$

We require $N_{k+1} > N_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{P}$.

We have for all $k \in \mathbb{P}$

$$|z_k - z_{k+1}| = |z_k - x_{N_{k+1}} + x_{N_{k+1}} - z_{k+1}| \leq \frac{\epsilon_k}{4} + \frac{\epsilon_{k+1}}{4} = \frac{3\epsilon_{k+1}}{4}.$$

Let $S(z, \epsilon) = \{x \in X : |x - z| \leq \epsilon\}$.

For $x \in S(z_{k+1}, \epsilon_{k+1})$ we have

$$|z_k - x| = |z_k - z_{k+1} + z_{k+1} - x| \leq \frac{3\epsilon_{k+1}}{4} + \epsilon_{k+1} < 2\epsilon_{k+1} = \epsilon_k.$$

Therefore $S(z_{k+1}, \epsilon_{k+1}) \subseteq S(z_k, \epsilon_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{P}$. Thus, $S(z_k, \epsilon_k)$ is a nested sequence of nonvoid closed spheres with radii ϵ_k tending to zero. By the Cantor Intersection Theorem $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{P}} S(z_k, \epsilon_k)$ contains exactly one point, say w .

By Lemma 2.3.5, F is closed and the sequence $\{z_k\}$ in F converges to w , therefore $w \in F$.

We have

$$|z_k - w| < \epsilon_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{P}$$

and

$$|x_n - z_k| < \frac{\epsilon_k}{4} \text{ for all } n \geq N_k,$$

hence

$$|x_n - w| < \frac{5\epsilon_k}{4} \text{ for } n \geq N_k.$$

Thus $\{x_n\} \rightarrow w$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.3.7 Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space and C be closed convex subset of X . Suppose $T: C \rightarrow C$ satisfies

$$(A) \quad |Tx - Ty| \leq \beta[|x - Ty| + |y - Tx|] \text{ where } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

OR

$$(B) \quad |Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + b|x - Ty| + c|y - Tx| + d|x - y|,$$

$$\text{where } \frac{1-b-c-d}{1+a-c} = \alpha > 0$$

$$2a + b + c + d \leq 1,$$

$$\text{and } a, b, c, d \geq 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0.$$

Then T satisfies condition II and for any $x_1 \in C$, $M(x_1, T)$ converges to the fixed point of T .

Proof.

Show (B) is quasi non-expansive

If p is a fixed point of T where T satisfies (B) we have

$$|Tx - Tp| = |Tx - p| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|x - p| + c|p - Tx| + d|x - p|$$

$$|Tx - Tp| \leq \frac{a}{1-c}|x - Tx| + \frac{b+d}{1-c}|x - p|$$

and

$$\frac{a}{1-c}|x - Tx| = \frac{a}{1-c}|x - p + p - Tx| \leq \frac{a}{1-c}|x - p| + \frac{a}{1-c}|p - Tx|.$$

Substituting,

$$|Tx - p| \leq \frac{a}{1-c} |x - p| + \frac{a}{1-c} |p - Tx| + \frac{b+d}{1-c} |x - p|.$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{a}{1-c}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx - Tp| &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} |x - p| + \frac{b+d}{1-c} (1-\alpha) |x - p| \\ &= \frac{a+b+d}{1-c} \cdot \frac{1-c}{1-c-a} |x - p| \\ &= \frac{a+b+d}{1-c-a} |x - p|. \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\frac{a+b+d}{1-c-a} \leq 1$ because we know

$$2a + b + c + d \leq 1.$$

$$a + b + d \leq 1 - c - a$$

$$\frac{a+b+d}{1-c-a} \leq 1,$$

and therefore,

$$|Tx - Tp| \leq |x - p| \text{ and}$$

T satisfying (B) is quasi non-expansive.

Show (B) satisfies condition II.

Assume T satisfies (B) and p is the fixed point; then

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx - p| &= |Tx - Tp| \leq a|x - Tx| + b|x - p| + c|p - Tx| + d|x - p| \\ &= a|x - Tx| + (b+d)|x - p| + c|p - Tx|, \\ |Tx - p| &\leq \frac{a}{1-c} |x - Tx| + \frac{b+d}{1-c} |x - p|. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$|Tx - p| = |Tx - x + x - p| \geq |x - p| - |Tx - x|.$$

Substituting,

$$\frac{a}{1-c} |x - Tx| + \frac{b+d}{1-c} |x - p| \geq |x - p| - |Tx - x|$$

$$|x - Tx| \geq 1 - \frac{b+d}{1-c} / \left(1 + \frac{a}{1-c}\right) |x - p|$$

$$= \frac{1-b-c-d}{1+a-c} |x - p|$$

The constant $\frac{1-b-c-d}{1+a-c}$ is positive by hypothesis:

Thus, T satisfying (B) meets condition II.

Show (A) quasi non-expansive

If T satisfies (A) and p is the fixed point of T , we have

$$|Tx - Tp| \leq \beta |x - p| + \beta |p - Tx|$$

$$|Tx - Tp| \leq \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$$

and for $0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$|Tx - Tp| \leq |x - p|,$$

and T is quasi non-expansive.

Show (A) meets condition II

Assume T satisfies (A) and p is the fixed point of T ; then

$$|Tx - Tp| = |Tx - p| \leq \beta |x - p| + \beta |p - Tx|$$

$$(1-\beta) |Tx - Tp| \leq \beta |x - p|.$$

Now

$$|Tx - p| = |Tx - x + x - p| \geq |Tx - x| - |x - p|.$$

Substituting,

$$(1 - \beta) |Tx - x| - (1 - \beta) |x - p| \leq \beta |x - p|$$

$$(1 - \beta) |Tx - x| \leq (\beta + 1 - \beta) |x - p|$$

$$(1 - \beta) |Tx - x| \leq |x - p|$$

$$-(1 - \beta) |Tx - x| \geq -|x - p|$$

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx - x| &\geq -\frac{1}{\beta - 1} |x - p| \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \beta} |x - p| \end{aligned}$$

and for $0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ the constant is positive.

Thus T satisfies condition II for (A).

Because T satisfying (A) or (B), meets condition I, is quasi non-expansive, and $\lim d(x_n, F) = 0$, it follows from Theorem 2.3.6 that $M(x_1, \frac{1}{n}, T)$ converges to the fixed point.

Q.E.D.

We will state the following Theorem given by Senter [19], show where one condition is in error, and finally show the corrected version is a corollary of Theorem 2.3.7.

Theorem 2.3.8 Let X be a Banach space and C be a subset of X .

Suppose $T: C \rightarrow C$ satisfies either

$$(A) \quad |Tx - Ty| \leq \beta[|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|],$$

$$0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

OR

$$(B) \quad |Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + b|y - Ty| + c|x - y|, \text{ where } a, b > 0, \\ c \geq 0 \text{ and } a+b+c \leq 1, \text{ and has a (unique) fixed point in } C.$$

Then T satisfies condition II.

If C is convex and closed and X is uniformly convex, then for any $x_1 \in C$, $M(x_1, T, p)$ converges to the fixed point of T .

Remark 2.3.9 The proof for this theorem follows the same lines as Theorem 2.3.7. The error occurred when (B) was assumed to be quasi non-expansive with the restrictions given. However, assuming p is the fixed point of T and T satisfies (B) we get

$$|Tx-p| \leq a|x-Tx| + c|x-p|$$

Now,

$$|x-Tx| \leq |x-p| + |p-Tx|,$$

hence

$$|Tx-p| \leq a|x-p| + a|p-Tx| + c|x-p|,$$

i.e.

$$(1-a)|Tx-p| \leq (a+c)|x-p|,$$

$$|Tx-p| \leq \frac{a+c}{1-a} |x-p|.$$

Thus, (B) is quasi non-expansive only when

$$\frac{a+c}{1-a} \leq 1 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad a+c \leq 1-a$$

$$2a + c \leq 1.$$

Therefore, there are not enough restrictions attached to the constants in (B).

We now restate the theorem assuming that $a = b$ in Senter's notation.

Theorem 2.3.10 (Corrected). Let X be a Banach space and C be a subset of X . Suppose $T:C \rightarrow C$ satisfies either,

$$(A'') |Tx - Ty| \leq B[|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|], \quad 0 \leq B \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

OR

$$(B'') |Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + c|x - y| \quad \text{where } c \neq 1 \text{ and } 2a + c \leq 1,$$

and has a (unique) fixed point in C .Then T satisfies condition II.

If C is convex and closed and X is uniformly convex, then for any $x_1 \in C$, $M(x_1, t_n, T)$ converges to the fixed point of T .

Remark 2.3.11 The proof follows the same lines as Theorem 2.3.7. We will only show that (B'') is quasi non-expansive.

Assuming p is the fixed point of T and T satisfies (B'') we have

$$|Tx - p| \leq a|x - Tx| + c|x - p|.$$

Now

$$|x - Tx| \leq |x - p| + |p - Tx|,$$

and

$$|Tx - p| \leq a|x - p| + a|p - Tx| + c|x - p|$$

$$(1-a)|Tx - p| \leq (a+c)|x - p|$$

$$|Tx - p| \leq \frac{a+c}{1-a} |x - p|.$$

Thus, T satisfying (B'') is quasi non-expansive when

$$\frac{a+c}{1-a} \leq 1,$$

or

$$a+c \leq 1-a,$$

$$2a+c \leq 1.$$

Remark 2.3.12 The corrected statement of Senter Theorem (Theorem 2.3.10) follows as a corollary of Theorem 2.3.7.

- 1) Taking (B) with $a = \beta, b, c, d = 0$ we get

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq \beta |x - Tx| + \beta |y - Ty|$$

with the restrictions

$$\beta \geq 0, \frac{1}{1+\beta} > 0 \text{ and } 2\beta \leq 1$$

$$\text{or } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This is the same as (A') of Senter.

- 2) Taking (B) with $b, c = 0$ we get

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + d|x - y|$$

with the restrictions

$$2a + d \leq 1$$

and

$$\frac{1-d}{1+a} > 0$$

or equivalently $d \neq 1$.

This is the same as (B') of Senter if c of Senter is substituted for d above.

The remainder of the Theorems are equivalent and thus, Senter's Theorem follows as a corollary of Theorem 2.3.7.

The following definition is taken from Rhoades [17].

Definition 2.3.13 Let α, β, γ be real non-negative numbers satisfying $\alpha < 1, \beta, \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$. We say $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies condition Z if for each $x, y \in X$, at least one of the following is satisfied:

- i) $|Tx - Ty| \leq \alpha |x - y|,$
- ii) $|Tx - Ty| \leq \beta [|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|],$

$$\text{iii)} \quad |Tx - Ty| \leq \gamma[|x - Ty| + |y - Tx|].$$

Remark 2.3.14 We will show that condition Z is a subset of condition (B) in Theorem 2.3.7.

Condition (B) states

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + b|x - Ty| + c|y - Tx| + d|x - y|$$

with $a, b, c, d \geq 0$, $2a + b + c + d \leq 1$ and $\frac{1-b-c-d}{1+a-c} = \alpha > 0$.

1. Taking (B) with $a, b, c = 0$ and $a = d$ we get

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq \alpha|x - y|$$

with the restrictions $1 - \alpha > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$ or $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

This is (i) of Rhoades' definition.

2. Taking (B) with $a = 0$, $b = c = \beta$ and $d = 0$ we get

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq \beta[|x - Ty| + |y - Tx|]$$

with the restrictions $\beta \geq 0$ and $\frac{1-2\beta}{1-\beta} > 0$ or equivalently,

$$0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}.$$

This is condition (iii) of Rhoades' definition.

3. Taking (B) with $a = \beta$, $b, c, d = 0$ we get

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq \beta[|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|]$$

with the restrictions $\beta \geq 0$, $2\beta \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{1+\beta} > 0$ or equivalently, $0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

This includes condition (ii) of Rhoades but it is to be noted that it also includes $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 2.3.15 Several new conditions similar to Rhoades can be derived from (B) with different combinations of the constants. For example:

1. Taking (B) with $c, d = 0$ we get

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + b|x - Ty|$$

$$2a + b \leq 1 \text{ and } b \neq 1.$$

2. Taking (B) with $b, d = 0$ we get

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + c|y - Tx|$$

$$2a + c \leq 1 \text{ and } c \neq 1.$$

We give the following theorem which extends Theorem 2.2.24 of Petryshyn and Williamson to a more general sequence of iterates; namely

$$x_{n+1} = t_n Tx_n + (1-t_n)x_n.$$

Theorem 2.3.16 Let C be a closed convex subset of a Banach space X and $T: C \rightarrow X$ be a continuous function. If the following conditions are satisfied

- i) $F(T) \neq \emptyset$,
- ii) $|Tx - p| \leq |x - p|$ for all $x \in C$ and every $p \in F(T)$,
- iii) $x_{n+1} = t_n Tx_n + (1-t_n)x_n$ for all $x_0 \in C$ where $t_n \in [a, b]$ with $0 < a < b < 1$,
- iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Proof We will construct a notation similar to Petryshyn and Williamson by setting

$$x_{n+1} = T_{t_n} x_n = (1-t_n)x_n + t_n T x_n.$$

We wish to show that $F(T) = F(T_{t_n})$

a) Consider

$$T_{t_n} x = t_n T x + (1-t_n)x.$$

$$\text{Let } x_0 = T x_0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_{t_n} x_0 &= t_n T x_0 + (1-t_n)x_0 \\ &= t_n x_0 + (1-t_n)x_0 \\ &= x_0. \end{aligned}$$

That is T_{t_n} has a fixed point x_0 .

b) Show $x_0 = T x_0$ if $T_{t_n} x_0 = x_0$

$$x_0 = t_n T x_0 + (1-t_n)x_0$$

$$0 = t_n T x_0 - t_n x_0$$

$$t_n x_0 = t_n T x_0$$

$$x_0 = T x_0.$$

Therefore, $F(T) = F(T_{t_n})$.

Show T_{t_n} is quasi non-expansive

That is to show $|T_{t_n} x - p| \leq |x - p|$ for $p \in F(T_{t_n})$.

Taking the left hand side of the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& |t_n Tx + (1-t_n)x - p| \\
&= |t_n Tx - t_n p + t_n p + (1-t_n)x - p| \\
&= |t_n Tx - t_n p + (1-t_n)(x-p)| \\
&\leq t_n |Tx - p| + (1-t_n) |x - p|.
\end{aligned}$$

We are given that $|Tx - p| \leq |x - p|$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_{t_n} x - p| &\leq t_n |x - p| + (1-t_n) |x - p| \\
&= |x - p|.
\end{aligned}$$

We now have all the conditions necessary for Theorem 2.2.24 of Petryshyn and Williamson [15] to hold.

Therefore, $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Q.E.D.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. BANACH, S. Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, Fund. Math., 3(1922), 133-181.
2. BARBUTTI, U. and GUERRA, S.G. On an extension of a theorem of J.B. Diaz and F. T. Metcalf, Accad. Naz. Lincei, 51(1971), 29-31.
3. BROWDER, F.E. and PETRYSHYN, W.V. The solution of iteration of non-linear functional equations in Banach spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72(1966), 571-575.
4. DIAZ, J.B. and METCALF, F.T. On the set of subsequential limit points of successive approximations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135(1969), 459-485.
5. DOTSON, W. G. Jr. Some iterative methods for approximation of fixed points and solution of functional equations in Banach spaces, Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (1968).
6. EDELSTEIN, M. The construction of an asymptotic center with a fixed point property, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78(1972), 206-208.
7. EDELSTEIN, M. A remark on a theorem of M.A. Krasnoselskii, Amer. Math. Monthly, 73(1966).
8. GOLDBERG, R.R. Methods of Real Analysis, Ginn-Blaisdell Co. 1963.
9. HOLDEN, B.J. Sum of non-linear operators with fixed points, Master's Thesis, Memorial University (1972).
10. KIRK, W.A. On successive approximations for non-expansive mappings, Glasgow Math. Jour. 12(1971), 6-9.
11. KRASNOSELSKII, M.A. Two remarks on the method of successive approximations, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. 10(1965), 123-127.
12. NUSSBAUM, R.D. The radius of the essential spectrum; Duke. Math. Jour. 37 9(1970), 473-478.
13. The fixed point index and asymptotic fixed point theorems for k-set contractions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75(1969), 490-495.

14. PETRYSHYN, W.V. Structure of fixed point sets of k -set
contractions, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 40(1971),
312-328.
15. PETRYSHYN, W.V. and Strong and weak convergence of the sequence of
WILLIAMSON, T.E. Jr. successive approximations for quasi-non-expansive
mappings, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 43(1973),
459-497.
16. REINERMANN, J. On a fixed point theorem of Banach type, Ann.
Polon. Math. 23(1970-71), 105-107.
17. RHOADES, B.E. Fixed point iterations using infinite matrices,
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 196(1974), 161-176.
18. SCHAEFFER, H. Über die Methode subzessive approximtionen.
Jber. Deutsch Math. Verein 59(1957), 131-140.
19. SENTER, H.F. Approximations of fixed points by mean-value
iterative methods in Banach spaces, Doctor of
Philosophy Thesis, North Carolina State University
at Raleigh (1973).
20. SINGH, S.P. Some results on non-linear contractions, Notices.
Amer. Math. Soc. 19 No. 5(1972), A-695.
21. _____ On the convergence of sequence of iterates.
Accad. Naz. Dei Lincei, LVII(1975), 502-505.
22. SINGH, S.P. and On a theorem of Diaz and Metcalf, Accad. Naz.
RIGGIO, M.I. Lincei, 53(1972), 246-249.
23. SINGH, S.P. and On the convergence of the sequence of iterates,
YADAV, R.K. Annales Soc. Sci. de Bruxelles 87(1973), 279-284.
24. YADAV, R.K. Geometry of Banach spaces and some fixed point
theorems, Master's Thesis, Memorial University (1972).

